

Shengning Lang
595 Pavonia Ave
APT 0911
Jersey City, NJ 07306
saniylang@gmail.com
July 14, 2025

RECEIVED
2025 JUL 15 A 11:55
CLERK
US BANKRUPTCY COURT
DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

Honorable Judge Karen B. Owens
United States Bankruptcy Court
District of Delaware
824 North Market Street, 3rd Floor
Wilmington, Delaware 19801
USA

Re: Objection to the Designation of China as a "Restricted Foreign Jurisdiction"
In re: FTX Trading Ltd., et al., Case No. 22-11068 (KBO)

Dear Judge Owens:

OBJECTION TO MOTION OF FTX RECOVERY TRUST TO IMPLEMENT
RESTRICTED JURISDICTION PROCEDURES

Submitted by: Shengning Lang, FTX Creditor

Preliminary Statement

I respectfully submit this objection to the Debtors' motion to designate the People's Republic of China as a "Restricted Jurisdiction" under the Motion.

I hold a Chinese Know-Your-Customer (KYC) verified FTX account with aggregate claims totaling \$25,558.96 USD. I have complied with all procedural and documentation requirements under the Plan and expect to receive distributions accordingly.

This motion to designate China as a “Restricted Jurisdiction” is unsupported by either fact or law. As outlined below, there is no credible legal basis to conclude that distributions to Chinese creditors would subject the Trust, or any distribution agent, to regulatory or criminal risk.

I. FTX Claims Are USD-Denominated and Payable via Lawful Channels

1. FTX claims are denominated and settled in U.S. Dollars. While these claims originate from crypto investments, the Debtors’ Plan explicitly adopts a USD-denominated valuation and distribution framework. The proposed distributions are fiat-based and do not require engagement with virtual assets, rendering them functionally indistinguishable from traditional monetary claims.[1]

2. USD wire transfers are lawful and standard payment mechanisms. FTX Recovery Trust has announced partnerships with global payment platforms (e.g., Payoneer, BitGo) and distribution agents (e.g., Kraken) to facilitate fiat disbursements. Chinese residents can lawfully receive USD wires via Hong Kong-based accounts, which is outside the scope of capital control violations.[2][3]

3. USD settlement mechanisms exist for Chinese creditors. Even if crypto-based payouts are avoided, there are sufficient and lawful channels for distributing USD. In the Celsius Network LLC case, the bankruptcy court successfully disbursed USD to Chinese creditors via standard international wire transfers, confirming the existence of practical and compliant financial infrastructure.[4]

4. Conclusion to Section I. Given that the FTX bankruptcy estate values and settles creditor claims in U.S. Dollars, this case is fundamentally no different from other bankruptcy proceedings when it comes to distribution. The U.S. Dollar is a global reserve currency and can be lawfully transmitted to Chinese creditors through established

channels. Equal access to USD compensation is essential to preserving fairness and equity in the bankruptcy process. The FTX Recovery Trust's attempt to withhold distributions from Chinese creditors based on jurisdictional interpretations of crypto regulation is both unreasonable and legally unfounded. Chinese creditors are entitled to equal treatment in USD distributions just like creditors in other jurisdictions. Any attempt to block such distributions based on speculative regulatory interpretations is not only unjust but lacks legal basis.

II. Even Crypto-Based Distributions Are Legally Permissible in China

1. China Recognizes Virtual Assets as Legal Property.

Chinese courts have consistently held that cryptocurrencies such as Bitcoin and Ethereum constitute personal property under the Civil Code. These rulings confirm that individual ownership is lawful.[5][6][7][8]

2. Hong Kong Supports Crypto Regulation and Retail Participation.

As a Special Administrative Region (SAR) of the People's Republic of China, Hong Kong operates under the 'one country, two systems' framework, which allows it to establish its own financial and regulatory policies. However, it remains an integral part of China. Not only does Hong Kong allow individuals and institutions to hold and trade virtual assets, but it has also proactively embraced digital assets with a comprehensive licensing regime. Its progressive and well-defined regulatory framework aims to attract global digital asset firms and provide robust investor protection mechanisms.[9][10][11][12]

3. Mischaracterization of Macau Policy.

The Trust references Macau's 2017 ICO-related warning, but this merely restricts financial institutions from participating in token issuances. It does not prohibit personal ownership or receipt of crypto assets.[13][14]

4. International Precedents Support Distributions to Chinese Creditors.


In Celsius Network LLC (Case No. 22-10964, S.D.N.Y.), the court approved USD distributions to Chinese claimants via wire. In the Mt. Gox rehabilitation, Chinese creditors lawfully received crypto (BTC, BCH) through Kraken. No jurisdictional restrictions were imposed in either case.[15][16]

Conclusion

In conclusion, distributing claims to Chinese creditors poses no legal risk to the Trustee or its agents and constitutes a required step under the bankruptcy process. The motion to classify China as a “Restricted Jurisdiction” lacks factual foundation and misapplies legal principles. I respectfully urge the Court to reject any designation that would exclude Chinese creditors from distributions under the Plan.

Respectfully submitted,

Shengning Lang



Dated: July 14, 2025

Appendix A: Reference Links

[1]

<https://www.coindesk.com/markets/2025/05/16/ftx-to-pay-over-usd5b-to-creditors-as-bankrupt-exchange-gears-up-for-distribution>

[2]

<https://www.prnewswire.com/news-releases/ftx-recovery-trust-to-distribute-more-than-5-billion-to-creditors-in-second-distribution-on-may-30-2025-302456976.html>

[3] <https://www.coinspeaker.com/ftx-adds-payoneer-as-payment-method-for-creditors>

[4] <https://www.nysb.uscourts.gov/content/re-22-10964-mg-celsius-network-llc-21>

[5]

https://watcher.guru/news/bitcoin-is-now-recognized-as-a-legal-virtual-property-by-shanghai-high-court#google_vignette

[6]

<https://www.mondaq.com/fin-tech/1577154/shanghai-court-recognises-the-legality-of-crypto-ownership-for-individuals>

[7]

<https://www.coindesk.com/markets/2018/10/26/chinese-court-rules-bitcoin-should-be-protected-as-property>

[8] <https://news.bitcoin.com/chinese-court-ethereum-legal>

[9] <https://www.info.gov.hk/gia/general/202210/31/P2022103000454.htm>

[10]

<https://www.reuters.com/technology/crypto-exchange-hashkey-bags-licence-update-serve-retail-users-2023-08-03>

[11]

<https://cointelegraph.com/news/hong-kong-welcomes-first-licensed-retail-crypto-exchange-hashkey>

[12]

<https://www.engage.hoganlovells.com/knowledgeservices/news/hong-kong-government-policy-announcement-on-virtual-asset-exchanges-hong-kong-fintech-week-2022>

[13] <https://www.gov.mo/en/news/74177>

[14]

<https://www.amcm.gov.mo/zh-hant/news-notice/statement/detail/alert-to-risks-of-virtual-commodities-and-tokens/642>

[15]

<https://www.theblock.co/post/303624/mt-gox-creditors-may-have-to-wait-for-up-to-three-months-to-receive-their-bitcoin>

[16] <https://cointelegraph.com/news/mt-gox-confirm-bitcoin-addresses-repayment>

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Shengning Lang, hereby certify that on July 14, 2025, I caused a copy of the foregoing Objection to the Motion of the FTX Recovery Trust to Implement Restricted Jurisdiction Procedures to be served via electronic mail upon the following parties:

Sullivan & Cromwell LLP

- James L. Bromley – bromleyj@sullcrom.com
- Alexa J. Kranzley – kranzleya@sullcrom.com
- Andrew G. Dietderich – dietdericha@sullcrom.com
- Brian D. Glueckstein – gluecksteinb@sullcrom.com

Landis Rath & Cobb LLP


- Adam G. Landis – landis@lrclaw.com
- Kimberly A. Brown – brown@lrclaw.com
- Matthew R. Pierce – pierce@lrclaw.com

U.S. Trustee Office

- Juliet M. Sarkessian – juliet.m.sarkessian@usdoj.gov
- Benjamin A. Hackman – benjamin.a.hackman@usdoj.gov
- David Gerardi – david.gerardi@usdoj.gov

I certify under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated: July 14, 2025


Shengning Lang

Visit **UPS.com**

Apply shipping documents on this side.

Scan QR code
schedule a pickup

1/1

Notes

After rate, UPS Express®
urgent documents, and/or
express envelopes contain
more than 8oz. will be billed at

Comments

Envelope may be used on
trials consider electronic
support to verify if your ship

After rate, the UPS Express
envelopes weighing more than

envelopes are not recom
mending sensitive personal
cash equivalent

Envelope

Envelope with ship
or inkjet printer
documents under

Notice — Carriage he
r the Unification of Car
international Carriage o
dance with the Export

This envelope is for use with the following services:

UPS Next Day
UPS Worldwide
UPS 2nd Day Air
UPS Ground
UPS Standard
UPS 3 Day Select
UPS Worldwide

Do not use this envelope for:

about:blank



More than 110 years
of service


UPS's privacy practices or to o
please see the UPS Privacy N
0101951033 04/21 PAC

SHENGNING LANG
9298551360
SHENGNING LANG
595 PAVONIA AVE
JERSEY CITY NJ 07306

LTR

1 OF 1

SHIP TO:
CLERK OF THE COURT
3022522900
U.S. BANKRUPTCY COURT
824 N MARKET ST
WILMINGTON DE 19801



DE 197 9-25



UPS NEXT DAY AIR

TRACKING #: 1Z 8LT N66 01 2007 5246

1



BILLING: P/P

XOL 25.06.28

NV45 29.0A 07/2025*



TM